

AN EFFECTIVE RECURSION FORMULA FOR COMPUTING INTERSECTION NUMBERS

KEFENG LIU AND HAO XU

ABSTRACT. We prove a new effective recursion formula for computing all intersection indices (integrals of ψ classes) on the moduli space of curves, inducting only on the genus.

1. INTRODUCTION

We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ the moduli space of stable n -pointed genus g complex algebraic curves. Let ψ_i be the first Chern class of the line bundle whose fiber over each pointed stable curve is the cotangent line at the i -th marked point.

We adopt Witten's notation in this paper,

$$\langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g := \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{d_1} \cdots \psi_n^{d_n}.$$

Witten-Kontsevich theorem [9, 4] provides a recursive way to compute all these intersection numbers. However explicit and effective recursion formulae for computing intersection indices are still very rare and very welcome.

Our n -point function formula [5] computes intersection indices recursively by decreasing the number of marked points. So it is natural to ask whether there exists a recursion formula which explicitly expresses intersection indices in terms of intersection indices with strictly lower genus. Motivated by Witten's KdV coefficient equation and our n -point function formula, we find such a recursion formula.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = 3g + n - 3$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (2g + n - 1)(2g + n - 2) \langle \prod_{j=1}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle_g \\ &= \frac{2d_1 + 3}{12} \langle \tau_0^4 \tau_{d_1+1} \prod_{j=2}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle_{g-1} - \frac{2g + n - 1}{6} \langle \tau_0^3 \prod_{j=1}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle_{g-1} \\ &+ \sum_{\{2, \dots, n\} = I \amalg J} (2d_1 + 3) \langle \tau_{d_1+1} \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'} \\ &- \sum_{\{2, \dots, n\} = I \amalg J} (2g + n - 1) \langle \tau_{d_1} \tau_0 \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'}. \end{aligned}$$

It's not difficult to see that when indices $d_j \geq 1$, all non-zero intesection indices on the right hands have genera strictly less than g .

It is not difficult to see that the above recursion formula, together with the string and dilaton equations, provides an effective recursive algorithm for computing intersection

indices on moduli spaces of curves by inducting solely on genus g . We have written a Maple program implementing the above recursion formula to compute intersection indices which is available at [10].

Besides our n -point function formula and the above new recursion formula, the only known effective formula for computing intersection indices is the following DVV formula [1, 2] (equivalent to Virasoro constraints)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau_{k+1} \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g &= \frac{1}{(2k+3)!!} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(2k+2d_j+1)!!}{(2d_j-1)!!} \langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_j+k} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r+s=k-1} (2r+1)!!(2s+1)!! \langle \tau_r \tau_s \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_{g-1} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r+s=k-1} (2r+1)!!(2s+1)!! \sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \langle \tau_r \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_s \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'} \right] \end{aligned}$$

which computes intersection indices by inducting on both the genus and the number of marked points. We know that Mirzakhani's recursion formula [7] of Weil-Petersson volumes is essentially equivalent to the DVV formula [6, 8].

We also found the following simple identity, which plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $d_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j = 3g + n - 2$. Then*

$$(2g+n-1) \langle \tau_0 \prod_{j=1}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle_g = \frac{1}{12} \langle \tau_0^4 \prod_{j=1}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle_{g-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \langle \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'}.$$

In fact, Theorem 1.2 is also very suitable for computing intersection indices. Note that the non-zero intersection indices on the right hand side have strictly lower genus. We may then compute inductively on the maximum index, say d_1 , and use the string equation

$$\langle \tau_{d_1} \prod_{j=2}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle_g = \langle \tau_0 \tau_{d_1+1} \prod_{j=2}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle_g - \sum_{i=2}^n \langle \tau_{d_1+1} \tau_{d_i-1} \prod_{j \neq i, 1} \tau_{d_j} \rangle_g.$$

Both Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 are proved by applying our n -point function formula and Witten's KdV coefficient equation.

Theorem 1.1 tells us that the intersection numbers on moduli spaces of curves are determined by intersection numbers on the boundaries. On the other hand, a theorem of Ionel [3] says when $g \geq 2$, any product of degree at least g of descendant or tautological classes vanishes when restricted to $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

Definition 2.1. We call the following generating function

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sum d_j = 3g-3+n} \langle \tau_{d_1} \cdots \tau_{d_n} \rangle_g \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{d_j}$$

the n -point function.

Consider the following “normalized” n -point function

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^3}{24}\right) \cdot F(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

We have the following simple recursion formula of n -point functions.

Theorem 2.2. [5] For $n \geq 2$,

$$G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{r,s \geq 0} \frac{(2r+n-3)!!}{4^s(2r+2s+n-1)!!} P_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)^s,$$

where P_r and Δ are homogeneous symmetric polynomials defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j)^3 - \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^3}{3}, \\ P_r(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \left(\frac{1}{2 \sum_{j=1}^n x_j} \sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 G(x_I) G(x_J) \right)_{3r+n-3} \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \sum_{j=1}^n x_j} \sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 \sum_{r'=0}^r G_{r'}(x_I) G_{r-r'}(x_J), \end{aligned}$$

where $I, J \neq \emptyset$, $\underline{n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $G_g(x_I)$ denotes the degree $3g + |I| - 3$ homogeneous component of the normalized $|I|$ -point function $G(x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_{|I|}})$, where $k_j \in I$.

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. [5] Let P and Δ be as defined in Theorem 2.2. Then

$$G_g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{(2g+n-1)} P_g(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \frac{\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{4(2g+n-1)} G_{g-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

In terms of n -point functions, it's not difficult to see that Theorem 1.2 can be rephrased as the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. Let $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be n -point functions. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} (2g+n-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) F_g(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{1}{12} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^4 F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 F(x_I) F(x_J). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For convenience of notation, we define

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \exp\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{24}\right), & H^{-1} &= \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{24}\right), \\ H_d &= \frac{1}{d!} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{24} \right)^d, & H_d^{-1} &= \frac{1}{d!} \left(\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{24} \right)^d. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_{i=1}^d H_i H_{d-i}^{-1} = 0$ if $d > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H^{-1} \cdot RHS}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} &= \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{12} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^3 G_{g-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) + P_g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) \\ &= \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \left((2g + n - 1) G_g(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \frac{1}{12} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 \right) G_{g-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we applied Lemma 2.3 in the second equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H^{-1} \cdot LHS}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} &= \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a+b+c=g} (2a + 2b + n - 1) G_a(x_1, \dots, x_n) H_b H_c^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^g (2a + n - 1) G_a(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sum_{b+c=g-a} H_b H_c^{-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a+b+c=g} G_a(x_1, \dots, x_n) 2b H_b H_c^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} (2g + n - 1) G_g(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{12} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 \right) G_{g-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

So we conclude the proof of the proposition. \square

The following is a reformulation of Witten's KdV coefficient equation (see [5]).

Lemma 2.5. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left(2y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 1 \right) \left(\left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^2 F(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) &= \\ \left(\frac{y}{4} \left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^4 + y \left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \right) F(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) &+ \\ + y \sum_{\substack{\underline{n}=I \amalg J \\ J \neq \emptyset}} \left(\left(y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^3 + 2 \left(y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 \right) F(y, x_I) F(x_J). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1.2, we can group the first and third terms on the right hand side of Theorem 1.1 and further simplify to the following recursion relation.

$$\begin{aligned} (2g + n - 1) \langle \tau_r \prod_{j=1}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle_g &= (2r + 3) \langle \tau_0 \tau_{r+1} \prod_{j=1}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle_g \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6} \langle \tau_0^3 \tau_r \prod_{j=1}^n \tau_{d_j} \rangle_{g-1} - \sum_{\underline{n}=I \amalg J} \langle \tau_0 \tau_r \prod_{i \in I} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g'} \langle \tau_0^2 \prod_{i \in J} \tau_{d_i} \rangle_{g-g'}. \end{aligned}$$

So we need only prove the following equivalent statement of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.6. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
y \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} (2g + n - 1) F_g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= 2y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^n y + x_j \right) F(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) \\
&+ \left(\left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) - \frac{y}{6} \left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^3 \right) F(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
&- y \sum_{\substack{\underline{n}=I \coprod J \\ J \neq \emptyset}} \left(y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 F(y, x_I) F(x_J).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.5, it's not difficult to get the following equation for the part of differentiation with respect to y .

$$\begin{aligned}
2y \left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^n y + x_j \right) F(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \right) \\
= \left(\frac{y}{4} \left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^4 - y \left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) - \left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^2 \right) F(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
+ y \sum_{\substack{\underline{n}=I \coprod J \\ J \neq \emptyset}} \left(\left(y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i \right) \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^3 + 2 \left(y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 \right) F(y, x_I) F(x_J).
\end{aligned}$$

Multiply each side of the equation in Proposition 2.6 by $y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j$ and substitute the differential part using the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
y \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} (2g + n - 1) \left(y + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) F_g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
= \left(\frac{y}{12} \left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right)^4 - y \left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \right) F(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \\
+ y \sum_{\substack{\underline{n}=I \coprod J \\ J \neq \emptyset}} \left(y + \sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^2 \left(\sum_{i \in J} x_i \right)^2 F(y, x_I) F(x_J).
\end{aligned}$$

Add to each side with the term

$$y \left(y + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) F(y, x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

we get the equation of Proposition 2.4. So we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Dijkgraaf, *Intersection Theory, Integrable Hierarchies and Topological Field Theory*, New symmetry principles in quantum field theory (Cargèse, 1991), 95-158, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., 295, Plenum, New York, 1992.
- [2] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde, and E. Verlinde, *Topological strings in $d < 1$* , Nuclear Phys. B 352 (1991), 59–86.
- [3] E. Ionel, *Topological recursive relations in $H^{2g}(\mathcal{M}_{g,n})$* , Invent. Math. 148 (2002), no. 3, 627-658.
- [4] M. Kontsevich, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function*, Comm. Math. Phys. **147** (1992), no. 1, 1–23.
- [5] K. Liu and H. Xu, *The n -point functions for intersection numbers on moduli spaces of curves*, math.AG/0701319.
- [6] K. Liu and H. Xu, *A simple proof of Mirzakhani's recursion formula of Weil-Petersson volumes*, math.AG/0705.2086.
- [7] M. Mirzakhani, *Simple geodesics and Weil-Petersson volumes of moduli spaces of bordered Riemann surfaces*, Invent. Math. 167 (2007), 179–222.
- [8] M. Mulase and B. Safnuk, *Mirzakhani's recursion relations, Virasoro constraints and the KdV hierarchy*, math.AG/0601194.
- [9] E. Witten, *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space*, Surveys in Differential Geometry, vol.1, (1991) 243–310.
- [10] H. Xu, *A Maple program to compute intersection indices by Theorem 1.1*, available at <http://www.cms.zju.edu.cn/news.asp?id=1275&ColumnName=pdfbook&Version=english>

CENTER OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG 310027, CHINA; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA

E-mail address: liu@math.ucla.edu, liu@cms.zju.edu.cn

CENTER OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG 310027, CHINA

E-mail address: haoxu@cms.zju.edu.cn